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Follow the money

Data sources
I. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES FINANCIAL DATA
   (16 or more persons)

A. PUBLIC 16+ INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES FUNDS
   1. State Funds
      a. ICF/ID Medicaid Match
      b. General Funds (not including state ICF/ID match)
      c. Other State Funds (not including state ICF/ID match)
      d. Local Funds in Excess of Match
   2. Federal Funds
      a. Federal ICF/ID
      b. Title XX/Social Services Block Grant
      c. Other Federal Funds

B. PRIVATE 16+ INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES FUNDS
   1. State Funds
      a. ICF/ID Medicaid Match
      b. General Funds (not including state ICF/ID match)
      c. Other State Funds (not including state ICF/ID match)
      d. Local Funds in Excess of Match
   2. Federal Funds
      a. Federal ICF/ID
      b. Other Federal Funds

Sources: Braddock et. al. (1981-2017)
II. COMMUNITY SERVICES FINANCIAL DATA
(15 or fewer persons)

A. COMMUNITY SERVICES FUNDS FOR 15 OR FEWER PERSONS
1. State Funds
   a. ICF/ID Medicaid Match
   b. General Funds (not including state ICF/ID match)
   c. Other State Funds (not including state ICF/ID match)
   d. Local/County Funds in Excess of Match
   e. SSI State Supplement Funds

2. Federal Funds
   a. Public ICF/ID (<16)
   b. Private ICF/ID (<16)
   c. HCBS Waiver
   d. Other Medicaid Services
      1. Rehabilitation Services
      2. Clinic Services
      3. Targeted Case Management
      4. Personal Care Services
      5. Other Medicaid Services
   e. Title XX/Social Services Block Grant
   f. Other Federal Funds
   g. SSI and Adults Disabled in Childhood (ADC) benefits – HCBS Waiver participants
I. COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SETTINGS (1-6 PERSONS)
   A. Public ICFs/ID
   B. Private ICFs/ID
   C. Supported Living
   D. Personal Assistance
   E. Other residential settings
      (Group homes, apartments, foster, host homes)

II. COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL SETTINGS (7-15 PERSONS)
   A. Public ICFs/ID
   B. Private ICFs/ID
   C. Other residential settings for 7-15 persons

III. DAY/WORK PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS
      A. Sheltered employment/work activity
      B. Day habilitation ("day training")
      C. Supported/competitive employment

IV. HCBS WAIVER PARTICIPANTS

V. PUBLIC & PRIVATE INSTITUTIONAL SETTINGS (16+ PERSONS)
   A. State-operated institutions
   B. Private ICFs/ID
   C. Other private residential facilities
   D. Nursing facility residents with I/DD

Data Note

- Preliminary FY 2016-17 data
  - Subject to additional analysis

Sustained growth of IDD services spending: FYs 1977 – 2017

One reduction in 2011

Federal, State, and Local Medicaid Spending in FY 2017

Total spending: $71.4 billion

- Federal-State-Local Medicaid: 75.7%
- General fund, special tax levies, lottery, other state & local funds: 19.0%
- SSI/ADC, Title XX/SSBG, other federal funds: 13.1%

Medicaid Spending FY2017

Total federal-state Medicaid: $48.5 billion

Institutional and community spending

Fiscal effort for IDD services in the US: FYs 1977-2017

Total IDD spending by category in US (FY 2017)

- 6 or less residential & related community services: 55%
- Supported living: 16%
- Family support: 9%
- Supported employment: 1%
- Private Institution (16+): 3%
- Public Institution (16+): 7%
- 7-15 Person settings: 3%
- ICF-ID (6 or less): 6%

TOTAL: $71.7 B
Individual & family support and supported employment spending

Growth between 1993 – 2017:
Supported Living/Personal Assistance: 1746%
Family Supports: 889%
Supported employment: 74%

Caregiving families

IDD caregiving families and families supported by state IDD agencies:
FY 1988-2017

Families supported by state IDD agencies
Total IDD caregiving families

State IDD Agency Technology Spending

Creating Charts for Fiscal Year 2015

You can create two types of charts:

A) to compare all or some subset of states or regions; or B) to quickly compare up to four states or regions.

For a chart of multiple states or regions:
1. First select the type of data to compare,
2. Then choose whether to sort alphabetically, from low to high, or from high to low.
3. Complete the selection by choosing all states and all regions or other selected groups of states/regions.

Create Chart

For the second type of chart, first select the type of data to display. Then select up to four states or regions.

Create Chart

Right click on the chart to print.

NOTE: Seven states that no longer finance 16+ person state-operated institutions do, however, finance 16+ private facilities (IN, ME, MI, MN, NH, RI, and WV).

If you need any assistance, contact us at stateofthestates@cu.edu.
State profile [www.stateofthestates.org](http://www.stateofthestates.org)
The State of the States in Developmental Disabilities
University of Colorado

Welcome to the website for the State of the States in Developmental Disabilities Project, administered by the University of Colorado. The Project is funded by the Administration on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and by the University of Colorado School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry.

You can access nationwide longitudinal financial and programmatic trends in intellectual and developmental disabilities services by clicking on State Profiles and Create a Chart.

Creating Charts for Fiscal Year 2015
You can create two types of charts:
A) to compare all or some subset of states or regions (B) to quickly compare up to four states or regions.

For a chart of multiple states or regions:
1. First select the type of data to compare.
2. Then choose whether to sort alphabetically, from lowest to highest, or from high to low.
3. Complete the selection by choosing all states and all regions or other selected groups of states/regions.

For the second type of chart, first select the type of data to display. Then select up to four states or regions.

Right click on the chart to print.

NOTE: Seven states that no longer finance 16+ person state-operated institutions do, however, finance 16+ private facilities (IN, ME, MI, MN, NH, RI, and WV).
If you need any assistance, contact us at stateofthestates@cu.edu.
Create-a-chart (state or region)

www.stateofthestates.org
Create-a-chart, continued

1. Total Fiscal Effort for I/DD Services: 2015

State/Region       $s per $1,000 of personal income
Maryland            $3.35

Total community and institutional spending for I/DD services, per $1,000 of personal income.

Copyright © 2017, The State of the States in Developmental Disabilities Project

To print, click here.

Print to PDF & paste into documents and presentations (don’t forget to cite!)
THANK YOU!

To learn more about the Coleman Institute visit www.ColemanInstitute.org

For more information about State of the States visit: http://www.StateoftheStates.org

Financial support was obtained from the U.S. Administration on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities and the Coleman Institute for Cognitive Disabilities, University of Colorado.
Keep in Touch!

Like us on FaceBook

Follow us on Twitter@SOS_in_DD

Email us:

Shea.Tanis@cu.edu
Amie.Lulinski@cu.edu
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Preparation of this presentation was supported, in part, by cooperative agreements (90DN0297, 90DN0291, and 90RT5019-01-00) from the US Health and Human Services, Administration on Community Living. Grantees undertaking projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their findings and conclusions. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore necessarily represent official AIDD policy.
Why is the RISP data important?

- Track status and trends in LTSS for people with IDD (e.g., deinstitutionalization)
  - Nationally
  - State-by-state
- Used by federal and state policy makers, advocates and other stakeholders to:
  - Review national and state progress toward LTSS goals
  - Compare a state’s performance to the nation or other states
  - Prepare reports
  - Advocate for systems change
- Enhances ongoing programs of research:
  - Provides state level data to help explain variability in outcomes
  - Provides a local context for proposed changes
System Information

How many people are there with IDD?
What supports to people with IDD access?

risp.umn.edu
7.37 million people in the United States had Intellectual or Developmental Disabilities (IDD) in 2016.

People with IDD in the US in 2016:

- 2% of the U.S. population

- 20% of 1.49 million people with IDD were known to or served by state IDD agencies.
- 17% of 1.23 million people with IDD received long-term supports or services through state IDD agencies.
How RISP calculated Prevalence in FY2016

- **5,147,596 People Age 0-17**
  - 6.99% of the U.S.

- **1,970,933 People Age 18+**
  - 0.79% of the U.S.

Children's’ prevalence estimates (NHIS)

1994/5: 3.84% age 0-5
1994/5: 3.17% age 6-17
  (Larson et al, 2001)

2014: 5.76% age 3-17
2015: 6.04% age 3-17
2016: 6.99% age 3-17
  (Zablotsky et al, 2017)

- **255,873 People in Congregate Settings of 4 or More People**
  - RISP data FY 2016 from State
Long-Term Supports and Services (LTSS) assist people experiencing ongoing difficulties as a result of aging, chronic illness, or disability to perform activities of daily living (such as eating, bathing, and dressing), instrumental activities of daily living (such as cooking, housekeeping, and managing money or medications), and to participate in employment, educational, recreation, and community activities of their choice.

LTSS include, but are not limited to,
- residential supports,
- in-home supports,
- personal care assistance,
- family supports,
- day or employment supports,
- case management,
- behavioral supports,
- support for participant direction,
- therapeutic services,
- non-medical transportation,
- equipment,
- technology and modifications,
- home delivered meals,
- community transition services,
- family and caregiver training,
- respite, and
- financial management services.

Case management alone is not LTSS, but with one service it is LTSS.
FY 2016 Highlights
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Where did LTSS Recipients with IDD live?

- **Own Home**: 12%
- **Host/Foster Family**: 5%
- **IDD Group 1-3**: 7%
- **IDD Group 4 - 6**: 11%
- **IDD Group 16+**: 3%
- Nursing home and Psychiatric Facility Residents often do not receive LTSS through IDD Agencies

Total LTSS Recipients: 1,228,700
Percent of LTSS Recipients with IDD Living with a Family Member Compared to the Proportion of People Served by the State IDD Agencies Age 21 and Under by State on June 30, 2016

% LTSS recipients living with a...
Average Number of LTSS Recipients with IDD Per Setting as of June 30, 2016

- **Host/Foster Family Home**: 1.4
- **Nonstate Group Home**: 1.6
- **Nonstate ICF/IID**: 2.2
- **State ICF/IID**: 9.2
- **State ICF/IID**: 18.6
- **Average**: 68.8

This figure does not include LTSS recipients living in the home of a family member.

An average of **2.2 people** lived in each non-family IDD setting.

- **2.1 people** per setting in nonstate settings
- **14.0 people** per setting for state-operated settings
Percent of LTSS Recipients who lived with 3 or fewer and 6 or fewer people with IDD on June 30, 2016

* Imputed values for 1-3 and 4-6 proportions based on national estimates. Percentages among people who receive LTSS who do not live with family members.
Number of Individuals by Medicaid Funding Authority and Residence Type

### People
- **21 years or younger**
  - Waiver (Family Home): 173,363
  - Waiver (Other Setting): 254,547
  - Waiver (all settings): 348,509
- **22 years or more**
  - Waiver (Family Home): 31,043
  - Waiver (Other Setting): 204,406
  - Waiver (all settings): 603,056
- **ICF/IID**
  - Waiver (Family Home): 5,022
  - Waiver (Other Setting): 69,592

### Cost Per Person
- **Birth to 21 years**
  - Waiver (Family Home): $13,831
  - Waiver (Other Setting): $37,360
  - Waiver (all settings): $17,404
  - ICF/IID: $142,499
- **22 years or more**
  - Waiver (Family Home): $26,486
  - Waiver (Other Setting): $72,224
  - Waiver (all settings): $52,918
  - ICF/IID: $117,714
Per Capita Investment in People with IDD
FY 2016

People with IDD Receiving Funded Supports and Services

- Term Supports and Service Recipients per 100,000
- Medicaid Recipients ICF/IID and IDD Waiver per 100,000
- Expenditures per capita (Medicaid ICF/IID and IDD Waiver)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term Supports and Service Recipients</th>
<th>Medicaid Recipients ICF/IID and IDD Waiver</th>
<th>Expenditures per capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>$142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medicaid Funding provided to people with IDD provided per state resident
People With IDD Waiting for Services in the United States…

% is the amount of growth needed to serve those waiting. From 2010 on, people waiting may include people waiting for residential services in addition to the Medicaid Waiver. In 2015-16 people waiting were those waiting in the family home for Waiver Services.
Medicaid Waiver

risp.umn.edu
Number of People Receiving Waiver-Funded Supports per 100,000 of the Population

250 people with IDD per 100,000 of the population received Medicaid Waiver-funded supports
Age and Spending for Waiver Recipients Settings in 2016

Of the 807,462 Medicaid Waiver recipients with IDD:

- 25% were 21 years or younger
- 75% were 22 years or older

$35.5 billion expended to provide Medicaid Waiver funded supports to 807,462 people with IDD ($43,928 per person)

Annual per person Medicaid Waiver expenditures

- 21 years and younger: $17,404
- 22 years and older: $52,918
Percent of Medicaid Waiver Recipients with IDD in Family Home, Own Home, Host or Foster Family Home, or Group Settings by State June 30, 2016

Family %
Medicaid Waiver Recipients with IDD per 100,000 of the Population by Age and State on June 30, 2016
Annual Medicaid Waiver Expenditures for People with IDD per State Resident by State FY 2016
Number of People in ICF/IID Settings per 100,000 of the Population

23.2 people with IDD per 100,000 of the population lived in an ICF/IID
Age and Spending for People Living in ICF/IID Settings in 2016

Of the 74,614 people living in ICF/IID settings:

- 7% were 21 years or younger
- 93% were 22 years or older

$10.8 billion expended to provide Medicaid ICF/IID services to 74,614 people with IDD (140,831 per person)

Annual per person ICF/IID expenditures

- 21 years and younger: $117,714
- 22 years and older: $142,499
People Living in an ICF/IID per 100,000 of the Population by State and Age on June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Birth to 21 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>106.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ND, OH, IN, DC, OK, TX, NY, UT, PA, SD, CA, TN, WI, ME, DE, WA, MO, HI, CO, NV, AZ, MD, AK
Proportion of LTSS Recipients in an ICF/IID Certified Facility by Type of Operation and Setting Size on June 30, 2016

Excludes LTSS recipients with IDD living with a family member.

- **1-6 People**
  - Nonstate-Operated: 5%
  - State-Operated: 6%

- **7-15 People**
  - Nonstate-Operated: 34%
  - State-Operated: 14%

- **16+ People**
  - Nonstate-Operated: 83%
  - State-Operated: 97%

- **All sizes**
  - Nonstate-Operated: 11%
  - State-Operated: 66%

ICF/IIDs make up the majority of 16+ facilities among LTSS recipients. Most people in state-operated facilities are in ICF/IIDs.
Historical Trends
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Historic Trends: RISP tracks LTSS for people with IDD (e.g., deinstitutionalization)

Number of people in State-Operated Facilities with 16 or more people with IDD

Average Daily Population

Year

188...
1910,...
1939,...
1967, 194,650
198...
2016,...
Children and adults in large state IDD facilities of 16 or more people 1950-2016

Source: RISP - Larson et al, 2018
Historic Trends: State IDD Agencies are serving more people, particularly in community settings

- Family with Waiver
- Family No Waiver
- Own Home
- Host/Foster, IDD 1 to 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>693,691</td>
<td>794,925</td>
<td>908,876</td>
<td>954,517</td>
<td>1,017,644</td>
<td>1,042,698</td>
<td>1,072,997</td>
<td>1,138,121</td>
<td>1,170,190</td>
<td>1,258,796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage breakdown:
- Family with Waiver: 12%, 11%, 13%, 14%, 16%, 18%, 19%, 21%, 22%, 23%
- Family No Waiver: 35%, 37%, 36%, 37%, 37%, 35%, 33%, 34%, 34%, 33%
- Own Home: 9%, 9%, 9%, 9%, 10%, 10%, 10%, 10%, 10%, 11%
- Host/Foster, IDD 1 to 3: 9%, 9%, 10%, 10%, 10%, 9%, 9%, 8%, 8%, 11%
Non-family IDD Settings by Setting Size, and Proportion with 1 to 6 People on June 30 of Selected Years, 1977 to 2016

- 1977: 11,008, 63%
- 1982: 15,581, 66%
- 1987: 33,477, 80%
- 1992: 49,479, 85%
- 1997: 96,530, 92%
- 2002: 125,675, 94%
- 2007: 167,874, 95%
- 2012: 201,804, 96%
- 2013: 205,294, 96%
- 2014: 203,443, 96%
- 2015: 227,662, 97%
- 2016: 229,076, 97%

The graph shows an increasing trend in the number of non-family IDD settings and the proportion of people with 1 to 6 people over the years from 1977 to 2016.
Recipients with IDD Per Non-family Setting on June 30 of Selected Years 1977 to 2016

Average People Per Setting

- 1977: 22.5
- 1982: 15.7
- 1987: 7.6
- 1992: 5.9
- 1997: 3.5
- 2002: 3.1
- 2007: 2.6
- 2012: 2.4
- 2016: 2.2
Public Residential Facilities

State-Operated Facilities with 16 or more people
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State Operated Settings, by Size

Of the 29,768 people with IDD living in state-operated settings,

- 2.8% lived in settings of 3 or fewer people
- 12.6% lived in settings of 4-6 people
- 20.5% lived in settings of 7-15 people
- 64.1% lived in settings of 16 or more people
Closures of State-Operated Facilities with 16 or more People as of June 30, 2016

Closures by state as of June 30, 2016

In 1977 there were 327 state-operated IDD facilities serving 16 or more people, by 2016 the total had dropped to 140.
Demographics of Newly Admitted, Readmitted, and Discharged PRF Residents in FY 2016

### Age Distribution

- **On June 30, 2016**
- **New Admissions**
- **Readmissions**
- **Discharges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>New Admissions</th>
<th>Readmissions</th>
<th>Discharges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-21 years</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-39 years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-54 years</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+ years</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of Intellectual Disabilities

- **On June 30, 2016**
- **New Admissions**
- **Readmissions**
- **Discharges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Disability</th>
<th>New Admissions</th>
<th>Readmissions</th>
<th>Discharges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild or None</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRFs Closed, Downsized, or Converted to Non-IDD Use or Nonstate Operation Between 1960 and 2016 with Projected Closures for 2016 to 2024 in 5-Year Interval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Number of Facilities/Units Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Age Distribution of People Living in PRF's (in Percent) by State on June 30, 2016

% < 22 years
Percent of People with IDD Living in PRFs by Level of Intellectual Disability and State on June 30, 2016
Contact Us, and What’s Available Online

risp.umn.edu
Trends over time & current year data: State Profiles

RISP Profile FY 2016

Figure 1: Medicaid Waiver, State Plan, and ICF/IID Recipients 1977-2016
Note: Some people may receive both Waiver and State Plan services.

Figure 2: Medicaid Spending Per Person Fiscal Year 2016

Figure 3: People Served by the IDD Agency on June 30, 2016 by Residence Size and Type

Table: Trends in In-Home and Residential Supports for People with Intellectual or Developmental Disabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People in Individualized Settings</td>
<td>Own Home</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>3,466</td>
<td>8,589</td>
<td>10,479</td>
<td>10,483</td>
<td>11,448</td>
<td>12,551</td>
<td>12,836</td>
<td>12,551</td>
<td>12,836</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Host Home</td>
<td>1,967</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>3,273</td>
<td>3,272</td>
<td>3,401</td>
<td>3,494</td>
<td>3,227</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td>1,275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People in Congregate Settings</td>
<td>4 to 6</td>
<td>1,924</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>2,018</td>
<td>2,259</td>
<td>2,216</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>2,130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 1 to 6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,344</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>2,020</td>
<td>2,564</td>
<td>3,024</td>
<td>3,116</td>
<td>3,283</td>
<td>3,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 to 15</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+</td>
<td>2,233</td>
<td>1,979</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People Served by the DD Agency*</td>
<td>Caseload (known to the DD agency)</td>
<td>2,607</td>
<td>2,480</td>
<td>3,778</td>
<td>8,815</td>
<td>14,870</td>
<td>17,520</td>
<td>17,803</td>
<td>18,978</td>
<td>20,320</td>
<td>20,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTSS Recipients (1)</td>
<td>1,570</td>
<td>3,219</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People in Non-DD Settings*</td>
<td>Nursing Facility (s)</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatric Facility (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Recipients and Expenditures</td>
<td>Waiver Expenditures Per Person</td>
<td>$18,825</td>
<td>$37,526</td>
<td>$41,230</td>
<td>$40,220</td>
<td>$31,646</td>
<td>$40,417</td>
<td>$7,474</td>
<td>$5,676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICF/IID Expenditures per Person</td>
<td>$127,146</td>
<td>$251,996</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
<td>$99,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waiver Recipients per 100,000</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>243.4</td>
<td>326.1</td>
<td>381.2</td>
<td>451.3</td>
<td>426.2</td>
<td>172.1</td>
<td>306.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICF/IID Residents per 100,000</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Long Term Supports and Service (LTSS) recipients may include imputed values; Until 2012 LTSS recipients may include people in nursing homes or psychiatric facilities who were not on the IDD agency caseload.
(2) Includes state operated facilities until 2013.
(3) Data may be from an outside source.
(4) Table includes ICF/IID, group homes, and nonstate other.
(5) DNF = Did Not Furnished.
PD = Partial Data.
* See state notes and the RISP report for further explanation.
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- Interactive Visualizations
- Reports
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Heidi Eschenbacher hje@umn.edu
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214 Pattee Hall, 150 Pillsbury Drive SE
Minneapolis, MN 55455

For general questions: risp@umn.edu
Access to Integrated Employment

Employment Outcomes & Services

StateData.info

Think Work!
Core Activities

- National Survey on Day and Employment Services
  State IDD Agencies

- VR services and outcomes
  RSA 911

- National Core Indicators

- American Community Survey
  Employment participation and outcomes

- Social Security Administration
  Work incentive use, work participation

- Workforce development
  Number of customers, entry into employment
Core Activities

- Promising Practices
  IDD Agency, Community Providers, Community Life Engagement
- National Survey of Community Rehabilitation Providers
- Employment first case studies
- Young Adult Outcomes and Services Report
- Real People Real Jobs
  www.realworkstories.org
How many people are employed?

**WORK**
- No disability: 74%
- Any disability: 34%
- Cognitive disability: 25%

**POVERTY**
- No disability: 12%
- Any disability: 27%
- Cognitive disability: 32%

Source: American Community Survey
Number in Employment and Day Services

Source: ICI National Survey of State IDD Agencies
Number in Employment and Day Services

Source: ICI National Survey of State IDD Agencies

Think Work!
Participation in integrated employment services varies widely

Source: ICI National Survey of State IDD Agencies 2015
Context matters
Higher-Performing States Model

Hall et al., 2007
Works In Integrated Employment

Nation

Works in Integrated Employment
- 19.1%

Individual Job
- 14.0%

Individual job with supports
- 6.8%

Individual job without supports
- 5.0%

Group supported job
- 5.7%

Source: National Core Indicators 2015-2016
Supporting Choice

- No paid job: 81%
- Paid job: 19%
- Does not want job: 53%
- Wants job: 47%
- No job goal in ISP: 59%
- Job goal: 41%

610,188
232,011
136,886

Source: National Core Indicators 2015-2016
Changing Investments

Services
- Integrated Employment 18.8%
- FB & Non-Work

Funding
- Integrated Employment 13.0%
- FB & Non-Work 87.0%

Source: ICI National Survey of State IDD Agencies 2016
## Self Sufficiency & Meaningful Day

### Mean Hours and Wages per week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hours worked</th>
<th>Gross Wages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual job with supports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual job without supports</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group supported job</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Core Indicators 2015-2016
Self Sufficiency & Meaningful Day
Average hours worked per week: Individual job

Source: National Core Indicators 2015-16
VR trends: Nation

Number of closures: Persons with ID

Source: RSA 911

StateData.info

ThinkWork!
VR: Engagement with individuals with an ID

Source: RSA 911
Reasons for exiting VR without a job: Persons with ID

- California: 13% Loss of interest, 13% Unable to locate
- Delaware: 15% Loss of interest, 11% Unable to locate
- Nevada: 15% Loss of interest, 12% Unable to locate
- Nebraska: 43% Loss of interest, 2% Unable to locate
- Oregon: 31% Loss of interest, 15% Unable to locate
- District of Columbia: 13% Loss of interest, 33% Unable to locate
- Pennsylvania: 29% Loss of interest, 17% Unable to locate
- Mississippi: 50% Loss of interest, 14% Unable to locate
- Florida: 43% Loss of interest, 21% Unable to locate
- Hawaii: 16% Loss of interest, 48% Unable to locate
Use of work incentives:
Impairment Related Work Expenses

Source: SSA
Policy Influences

CMS Employment Guidance
CMS Settings Rule
Department of Justice
WIOA
State Employment First
Focus Areas

WIOA Implementation
  Pre-employment Transition Services
  Section 511: Subminimum wage
  Interagency collaboration

Community Life Engagement

Provider capacity

Case Management

Service definition redesign

Funding
StateData: The National Report on Employment Services and Outcomes


Download the PDF here*

Download the report narrative, no tables (Accessible PDF)

Download Your State:

* Alabama  Go

State Snapshots

See the latest trends in state performance in work and participation for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

- Choose -

View state summary

Build a Chart

Our chart-building tool offers descriptive information on state characteristics and services drawn from several national databases. View a single state trend, or compare several states and national data.

Data Updates

According to WIOA data, there has been a